arXiv:1402.5605v1 [math.CA] 23 Feb 2014

On the Dirichlet problem associated with Dunkl Laplacian

Ben Chrouda Mohamed
High Institute of Informatics and Mathematics
5000 Monastir, Tunisia
E-mail: benchrouda.ahmed@gmail.com

February 25, 2014

Abstract

This paper is devoted to the study of the Dirichlet problem associated with the Dunkl Laplacian Δ_k. We establish, under some condition on a bounded domain D of $\mathbb{R}^d$, the existence of a unique continuous function h on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\Delta_k h = 0$ on D and $h = f$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$ the complement of D in $\mathbb{R}^d$, where the function f is asumed to be continuous. We also give an analytic formula characterizing the solution h.

1 Introduction

Let R be a root system in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 1$, and we fix a positive subsystem R_+ of R and a nonnegative multiplicity function $k : R \to \mathbb{R}_+$. For every $\alpha \in R$, let H_α be the hyperplane orthogonal to α and σ_α be the reflection with respect to H_α, that is, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\sigma_\alpha x = x - 2\frac{\langle x, \alpha\rangle}{|\alpha|^2}\alpha$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Euclidean inner product of $\mathbb{R}^d$. The Dunkl Laplacian Δ_k is defined [3], for $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by

$$\Delta_k f(x) = \Delta f(x) + 2\sum_{\alpha \in R_+} k(\alpha)\left(\frac{\langle \nabla f(x), \alpha\rangle}{\langle \alpha, x\rangle} - \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}\frac{f(x) - f(\sigma_\alpha x)}{\langle \alpha, x\rangle^2}\right),$$

where ∇ denotes the gradient on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Obviously, $\Delta_k = \Delta$ when $k \equiv 0$.

Given a bounded open subset D of $\mathbb{R}^d$, we consider the following Dirichlet problem :

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta_k h &=& 0 \quad \text{on} \quad D, \\ h &=& f \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D, \end{array}\right. \tag{1}$$

where f is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$. When D is invariant under all reflections σ_α, it was shown in [1], using probabilistic tools from potential theory, that there exists a unique continuous function h on $\mathbb{R}^d$, twice differentiable on D and such that both equations in (1) are pointwise fulfilled. In this paper, we shall investigate problem (1) for a bounded domain

D which is not invariant. Let D be a bounded open set such that its closure $\overline{D}$ is in some Domain of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \cup_{\alpha\in R_+} H_\alpha$. We mean by a solution of problem (1), every function $h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ which is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $h = f$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x)\Delta_k\varphi(x)w_k(x)dx = 0 \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(D),$$

where $C_c^\infty(D)$ denotes the space of infinitely differentiable functions on D with compact support and w_k is the invariant weight function defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$w_k(x) = \prod_{\alpha\in R_+} \langle x, \alpha\rangle^{2k(\alpha)}.$$

The set D is called Δ_k-regular if, for every continuous function f on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$, problem (1) admits one and only one solution; this solution will be denoted by $H_D^{\Delta_k} f$. By transforming problem (1) to a boundary value problem associated with Schrödinger's operator $\Delta - q$, we show that D is Δ_k-regular provided it is Δ-regular. We also give an analytic formula characterizing the solution $H_D^{\Delta_k} f$ (see Theorem 1 below). We derive from this formula that, for every $x \in D$, $H_D^{\Delta_k} f(x)$ depends only on the values of f on $\cup_{\alpha\in R_+}\sigma_\alpha(D)$ and on ∂D the Euclidean boundary of D. If, in addition, we assume that f is locally Hölder continuous on $\cup_{\alpha\in R_+}\sigma(D)$ then $H_D^{\Delta_k} f$ is continuously twice differentiable on D and therefore the first equation in (1) is fulfilled by $H_D^{\Delta_k} f$ not only in the sense of distributions but also pointwise.

It was shown in [5, 6] that the operator Δ_k is hypoelliptic on all invariant open subset D of $\mathbb{R}^d$. However, if D is not invariant, the question whether Δ_k is hypoelliptic on D or not remaind open. For Δ_k-regular open set D, we show that if D is not invariant then Δ_k is not hypoelliptic in D. Hence the condition " D is invariant" is necessary and sufficient for the hypoellipticity of Δ_k on D.

2 Main results

We first present various facts on the Dirichlet boundary value problem associated with Schrödinger's operator which are needed for our approach. We refer to [2, 4] for details. Let G be the Green function on $\mathbb{R}^d$, but without the constant factors :

$$G(x,y) = \begin{cases} |x-y|^{2-d} & \text{if } d \geq 3; \\ \ln \frac{1}{|x-y|} & \text{if } d = 2; \\ |x-y| & \text{if } d = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let D be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $q \in J(D)$ the Kato class on D, i.e., q is a Borel measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $G(1_D|q|)$ the Green potential of $1_D|q|$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Note that the Kato class $J(D)$ contains all bounded Borel measurable functions on D. Assume that D is Δ-regular. Then, for every continuous function f on ∂D, there exists a unique continuous function h on $\overline{D}$ such that $h = f$ on ∂D and

$$\int h(x)(\Delta - q)\varphi(x)dx = 0 \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(D). \tag{2}$$

In the sequel, we denote $H_D^{\Delta-q} f$ the unique continuous extension on $\overline{D}$ of f which satisfies the Schrödinger's equation (2). Let G_D^Δ and $G_D^{\Delta-q}$ denotes, respectively, the Green potential

operator in D of Δ and $\Delta - q$. The operator $G_D^{\Delta-q}$ acts as a right inverse of the Schrödinger's operator $-(\Delta - q)$, i.e., for every Borel bounded function g on D, we have

$$\int G_D^{\Delta-q} g(x)(\Delta - q)\varphi(x)dx = -\int g(x)\varphi(x)dx \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(D).$$

Then the unique continuous function h on $\overline{D}$ such that $h = f$ on ∂D and

$$\int h(x)(\Delta - q)\varphi(x)dx = -\int g(x)\varphi(x)dx \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(D) \tag{3}$$

is given, for $x \in D$, by

$$h(x) = H_D^{\Delta-q} f(x) + G_D^{\Delta-q} g(x). \tag{4}$$

The function $G_D^{\Delta-q} g$ is continuous on $\overline{D}$, vanishing on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$ and, for every $x \in D$,

$$G_D^{\Delta-q} g(x) = G_D^{\Delta} g(x) - G_D^{\Delta}(qG_D^{\Delta-q} g)(x). \tag{5}$$

Moreover, if, in addition, we assume that $q \in C^\infty(D)$ then, proceeding by induction, it follows from (5) that $G_D^{\Delta-q} g \in C^n(D)$ if and only if $G_D^{\Delta} g \in C^n(D)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we are ready to establish our first main result giving a characterization of solutions of the Dirichlet boundary value problem associated with the Dunkl Laplacian Δ_k.

Theorem 1. *Let D be a bounded open set such that $\overline{D}$ is in some Domain of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \cup_{\alpha \in R_+} H_\alpha$. If D is Δ-regular then D is Δ_k-regular. Moreover, for every continuous function f on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$ and for every $x \in D$,*

$$H_D^{\Delta_k} f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_k(x)}} \left(H_D^{\Delta-q}(f\sqrt{w_k})(x) + G_D^{\Delta-q}\left(\sqrt{w_k} N f\right)(x) \right), \tag{6}$$

where q and Nf are the functions defined, for $x \in D$, by

$$q(x) := \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \left(\frac{|\alpha| k(\alpha)}{\langle x, \alpha \rangle} \right)^2$$

and

$$Nf(x) := \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{|\alpha|^2 k(\alpha)}{\langle x, \alpha \rangle^2} f(\sigma_\alpha x).$$

Proof. Let f be a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$. We intend to prove existence and uniqueness of a continuous function h on D such that $h = f$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$ and

$$\int h(x)\Delta_k \varphi(x) w_k(x)dx = 0 \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(D). \tag{7}$$

It is clear that

$$\nabla\left(\sqrt{w_k}\right)(x) = \sqrt{w_k(x)} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{k(\alpha)}{\langle x, \alpha \rangle} \alpha.$$

Then, using the fact that [3]

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in R_+} k(\alpha)k(\beta) \frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\langle x, \alpha \rangle \langle x, \beta \rangle} = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{|\alpha|^2 k^2(\alpha)}{\langle x, \alpha \rangle^2},$$

direct computation shows that

$$\Delta\left(\sqrt{w_k}\right)(x) = \sqrt{w_k(x)} \sum_{\alpha\in R_+} |\alpha|^2 \frac{k^2(\alpha) - k(\alpha)}{\langle x, \alpha\rangle^2}.$$

Thus, for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(D)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\left(\varphi\sqrt{w_k}\right)(x) &= \sqrt{w_k(x)}\left(\Delta\varphi(x) + 2\sum_{\alpha\in R_+} k(\alpha)\left(\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi(x),\alpha\rangle}{\langle\alpha,x\rangle} - \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}\frac{\varphi(x)}{\langle\alpha,x\rangle^2}\right)\right)\\ &\quad + q(x)\varphi(x)\sqrt{w_k(x)},\end{aligned}$$

and thereby

$$\sqrt{w_k(x)}\Delta_k\varphi(x) = \left(\Delta\left(\varphi\sqrt{w_k}\right)(x) - q(x)\varphi(x)\sqrt{w_k(x)}\right) + \sqrt{w_k(x)}N\varphi(x). \tag{8}$$

Since the map $\varphi \to \varphi\sqrt{w_k}$ is invertible on the space $C_c^\infty(D)$ and the function $x \to \frac{w_k(x)}{\langle x,\alpha\rangle^2}$ is invariant under the reflection σ_α, equation (7) is equivalent to the following Schrödinger's equation : For every $\psi \in C_c^\infty(D)$,

$$\int h(x)\sqrt{w_k(x)}\left(\Delta - q\right)\psi(x)dx = -\int \sqrt{w_k(x)}Nf(x)\psi(x)dx.$$

Finally, since q is bounded on D and therefore is in $J(D)$, the statements follow from (3) and (4). □

To construct a Δ-regular set D, it suffices to choose D such that its Euclidean boundary ∂D satisfies the the geometric assumption known as " cone condition", i.e., for every $z \in \partial D$ there exists a cone C of vertex z such that $C \cap B(z,r) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$ for some $r > 0$, where $B(z,r)$ is the ball of center z and radius r (see, for example, [4]).

Remark 2. Note that, in order to obtain $q \in J(D)$, the hypothesis of the above theorem "$\overline{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \cup_{\alpha\in R_+} H_\alpha$" is nearly optimal. Indeed, assume that there exists a cone C_z of vertex $z \in \overline{D} \cap H_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in R_+$ with $k(\alpha) \neq 0$ such that $C_z^r := C_z \cap B(z,r) \subset D$ for some $r > 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}G(1_D q)(z) &\geq |\alpha|^2 k^2(\alpha)\int_{C_z^r} G(z,y)\frac{1}{\langle y,\alpha\rangle^2}dy\\ &= |\alpha|^2 k^2(\alpha)\int_{C_z^r} G(z,y)\frac{1}{\langle z-y,\alpha\rangle^2}dy\\ &\geq k^2(\alpha)\int_{C_z^r - z} G(0,y)\frac{1}{|y|^2}dy\\ &= \infty.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for every $x \in D$ the map $f \to H_D^{\Delta_k} f(x)$ defines a positive Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$. We denote this measure by $H_D^{\Delta_k}(x, dy)$. The following results are obtained in a convenient way by using formula (6) of the above theorem.

Corollary 3. *For every $x \in D$, $H_D^{\Delta_k}(x, dy)$ is a probability measure supported by*

$$\partial D \cup \left(\cup_{\alpha \in R_+} \sigma_\alpha(D)\right)$$

and satisfies

$$\frac{\sqrt{w_k(x)}}{\sqrt{w_k(y)}} H_D^{\Delta_k}(x, dy) = H_D^{\Delta - q}(x, dy) + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \frac{|\alpha|^2 k(\alpha)}{\langle y, \alpha \rangle^2} G_D^{\Delta - q}(x, \sigma_\alpha y) dy.$$

Corollary 4. *Let D be a Δ-regular bounded open set such that $\overline{D}$ is in some Domain of $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \cup_{\alpha \in R_+} H_\alpha$. Let f be a continuous function on $\partial D \cup \left(\cup_{\alpha \in R_+} \sigma_\alpha(D)\right)$. If f is locally Hölder continuous on $\cup_{\alpha \in R_+} \sigma(D)$ then $H_D^{\Delta_k} f \in C^2(D)$ and, for every $x \in D$,*

$$\Delta_k \left(H_D^{\Delta_k} f \right)(x) = 0.$$

Proof. Since $H_D^{\Delta - q}(f\sqrt{w_k})$ is a solution of the Schrödinger's equation (2), the hypoellipticity of the operator $\Delta - q$ on D implies that $H_D^{\Delta - q}(f\sqrt{w_k}) \in C^\infty(D)$. Moreover, since Nf is locally Hölder continuous on D, $G_D^{\Delta}\left(\sqrt{w_k} Nf\right) \in C^2(D)$ and consequently $G_D^{\Delta - q}\left(\sqrt{w_k} Nf\right) \in C^2(D)$. Then it follows from (6) that $H_D^{\Delta_k} f \in C^2(D)$. For every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(D)$, direct computation using (8) yields

$$\int \Delta_k \left(H_D^{\Delta_k} f \right)(x) \varphi(x) w_k(x) dx = \int H_D^{\Delta_k} f(x) \Delta_k \varphi(x) w_k(x) dx.$$

This completes the proof. □

Let D be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. The operator Δ_k is said to be hypoelliptic on D if, for every $f \in C^\infty(D)$, every continuous function h on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) \Delta_k \varphi(x) w_k(x) dx = \int f(x) \varphi(x) w_k(x) dx \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(D)$$

is infinitely differentiable on D. We note that the problem of the hypoellipticity of Δ_k is discussed in [5, 6], where the authors show that Δ_k is hypoelliptic on D provided D is invariant under all reflections σ_α. However, if D is not invariant, the question whether Δ_k is hypoelliptic on D or not remaind open.

Theorem 5. *Let D be a Δ_k-regular open set. Then Δ_k is hypoelliptic on D if and only if D is invariant.*

Proof. It is obviously sufficient to prove that Δ_k is not hypoelliptic on D provided D is not invariant. Assume that D is not invariant. Since the open set $D \setminus \cup_{\alpha \in R_+} H_\alpha$ is also not invariant, there exists a nonempty open ball B such that

$$\overline{B} \subset D \setminus \cup_{\alpha \in R_+} H_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_\alpha(B) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D \ \text{ for some } \ \alpha \in R_+.$$

We also choose the ball B small enough such that, for every $\alpha \in R_+$,

$$\sigma_\alpha(B) \subset D \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_\alpha(B) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D.$$

Let $I := \{\alpha \in R_+;\ \sigma_\alpha(B) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D\}$ and $J := R_+ \setminus I$. Let f be a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$ and denote $H_D^{\Delta_k} f$ by h. Since B is Δ-regular and h satisfies

$$\int h(x)\Delta_k\varphi(x)w_k(x)dx = 0 \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(B),$$

it follows from Theorem 1 that B is Δ_k-regular and, for every $x \in B$,

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{w_k(x)}}\left(H_B^{\Delta-q}(h\sqrt{w_k})(x) + G_B^{\Delta-q}\left(\sqrt{w_k}Nh\right)(x)\right). \tag{9}$$

Let g_1 and g_2 be the functions defined on B by

$$g_1(x) = \sum_{\alpha\in J} \frac{|\alpha|^2 k(\alpha)}{\langle x,\alpha\rangle^2} h(\sigma_\alpha x) \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(x) = \sum_{\alpha\in I} \frac{|\alpha|^2 k(\alpha)}{\langle x,\alpha\rangle^2} f(\sigma_\alpha x).$$

It is clear that the function g_2 is not trivial and $Nh = g_1 + g_2$. Now, assume that $h \in C^\infty(D)$. Then $g_1 \in C^\infty(B)$ and therefore $G_B^{\Delta-q}\left(\sqrt{w_k}g_1\right) \in C^\infty(B)$. Furthermore, since $H_B^{\Delta-q}(h\sqrt{w_k}) \in C^\infty(B)$, it follows from (9) that $G_B^{\Delta-q}\left(\sqrt{w_k}g_2\right) \in C^\infty(B)$. Thus $-(\Delta - q)G_B^{\Delta-q}\left(\sqrt{w_k}g_2\right) = \sqrt{w_k}g_2 \in C^\infty(B)$ and therefore $g_2 \in C^\infty(B)$, a contradiction. Hence h is not infinitely differentiable on D and consequently the Dunkl Laplacian Δ_k is not hypoelliptic on D. □

References

[1] M. Ben Chrouda and K. El Mabrouk, Dirichlet problem associated with Dunkl Laplacian on W-invariant open sets, *Preprint. arxiv: 1402.1597* (2014).

[2] A. Boukricha, W. Hansen and H. Hueber, Continuous solutions of the generalized Schrödinger equation and perturbation of harmonic spaces, *Expo. Math.* **5** (1987) 97–135.

[3] C. F. Dunkl, Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **311** (1989) 167–183.

[4] K. L. Chung and Z. Zhao, *From Brownian motion to Schrödinger's equation*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[5] K. Hassine, Mean value property associated with the Dunkl Laplacian, *Preprint. arxiv: 1401.1949* (2014).

[6] H. Mejjaoli and K. Trimche, Hypoellipticity and hypoanalyticity of the Dunkl Laplacian operator, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **15** (2004) 523–548.